Survey on Quality Requirements

In the following survey you will be asked to estimate the relevance of several *quality requirements*. At each step you will be presented with:

- a quality concern: an interest which pertains to the system's development, its operation or any other aspects that are critical or otherwise important to one or more stakeholders.
- some examples of quality requirements related to the specified quality concern.
- questions related to the quality concern.

The evaluation is subjective and should be based on your personal professional experience. Before expressing your evaluation, please make sure you carefully read and understand the examples given for each quality concern. All information obtained from this study will be kept anonymous.

Motivation

The architecture of a software system is the result of a number of design decisions that aim at satisfying a given set of **quality requirements**, such as ease of evolution, good run-time performance, and rapid build times. Architecture is rarely explicit in code and alignment between intended and actual architecture is often very difficult to validate and requires consistent human effort.

There have been several attempts to address this problem (e.g. component dependencies: JDepend, Sotograph, Structure 101; performance: JMeter) but there are still many aspects that remain ignored.

Our goal is to develop new ways for expressing and validating quality requirements.

We envision a set of tools that help identifying implementation solutions that break specified architectural requirements. This questionnaire will help us to identify the most relevant and commonly specified aspects of an architecture. This will provide a solid starting point for our research project.

Thanks for your collaboration.

Andrea Caracciolo caracciolo (at) iam.unibe.ch

Start Survey

ions (REQUIRED)				
Have you ever seen this	s kind of requiremer	nt in a project ?		
	⊖ Yes	6	◯ No	
b. IMPACT ON PROJ How important was it to		requirement ?		
1	2	3	4	◯ 5
No impact on the project	Low impact	Moderate impact	High impact	Critical to project success
		tool-sp	on formal notation:	
ow was the requirement natural language semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal not	tion:	☐ tool-spo ☐ other: [
How was the requirement and a natural language semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal not d. VERIFICATION How was the requirement	tion:	☐ tool-spo ☐ other: [ecific notation:	
ow was the requirement natural language semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal not	tion:	tool-space other:	ecific notation:	
semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal no	tion:	tool-space other: development ? whitebo	ecific notation:	

ons (REQUIRED)				
. FAMILIARITY lave you ever seen this	s kind of requiremen	t in a project ?		
	⊖ Yes	;	⊖ No	
b. IMPACT ON PROJ How important was it to		equirement ?		
1	2	3	4	5
No impact on the project	Low impact	Moderate impact	High impact	Critical to project success
ions (OPTIONAL)				
How was the requireme		tool-spe	n formal notation:]
	tion:	☐ tool-spe	t:	
natural language semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal no	tion:	☐ tool-spe	ecific notation:	
natural language semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal no d. VERIFICATION How was the requirement	tion:	tool-spe other:	ecific notation:	
natural language semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal no d. VERIFICATION How was the requirement no no verification	tion:	tool-spe other:	ecific notation:	
 natural language semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal no ad-hoc formal no d. VERIFICATION How was the requirement no verification code review blackbox test e. COMMENT	tion: tation	tool-spe other: development ? whitebo testing	ecific notation:	

ples of requirement rela	ated to this concern			
		o answer each request mpleted in less than 4i		
ions (REQUIRED)				
a. FAMILIARITY Have you ever seen thi	s kind of requiremen	it in a project ?		
	⊖ Yes	5	◯ No	
b. IMPACT ON PROJ How important was it to		equirement ?		
01	2	3	◯ 4	○ 5
No impact on the project	Low impact	Moderate impact	High impact	Critical to project success
ions (OPTIONAL)				
How was the requireme			n formal notation:]
	tion: tation	☐ tool-spe	n formal notation:	
 natural language semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal no ad-hoc formal no VERIFICATION How was the requirement no verification 	tion: tation	tool-spe other: development ?	ecific notation:	
natural language semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal no	tion: tation	tool-spe other:	ecific notation:	
 natural language semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal no ad-hoc formal no VERIFICATION How was the requirement no verification 	tion: tation	tool-spe other: development ?	ecific notation:	
 natural language semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal no ad-hoc formal no d. VERIFICATION How was the requirement no verification code review blackbox test e. COMMENT 	tion: tation ent validated during o	tool-spe other: [development ? whitebo testing	ecific notation:	

ons (REQUIRED)		a certain task 10'000 t	imes per noui.	
. FAMILIARITY				
lave you ever seen thi	s kind of requiremen	nt in a project ?		
	Yes	6	◯ No	
. IMPACT ON PROJ low important was it to		requirement ?		
◯ 1	2	3	4	5
No impact on the project	Low impact	Moderate impact	High impact	Critical to project success
ons (OPTIONAL)				
semi-formal nota	lion.		noifin notation:	1
ad-hoc formal no	tation	other: [ecific notation:	
ad-hoc formal no VERIFICATION ow was the requirement	tation	other: [
	tation	development ?	ox test	
ad-hoc formal no VERIFICATION Now was the requirement no verification	tation	development ?	ox test	

ons (REQUIRED)				
. FAMILIARITY ave you ever seen thi	s kind of requiremer	nt in a project ?		
	⊖ Yes	5	⊖ No	
IMPACT ON PROJ ow important was it to		requirement ?		
◯1	2	3	4	◯ 5
No impact on the project	Low impact	Moderate impact	High impact	Critical to project success
ns (OPTIONAL)				
 natural language semi-formal nota 			n formal notation:	
semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal no verification	tion:	☐ tool-spe ☐ other: [1	
semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal nota VERIFICATION ow was the requirement	tion:	☐ tool-spe ☐ other: [ecific notation:	
semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal not VERIFICATION wwas the requirement no verification code review	tion:	 tool-spe other: [development ? whitebo testing 	ecific notation:	
semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal not VERIFICATION www.as the requirement no verification	tion:	 tool-spe other: [development ? whitebox 	ecific notation:	
ad-hoc formal no	tion:	☐ tool-spe ☐ other: [1	

n this kind of requirement in a project ?	
⊖ Yes ⊃ No	
ROJECT SUCCESS it to satisfy this kind of requirement ?	
2 3 4	○ 5
e Low impact Moderate impact High impact	Critical to project success
ement validated during development ?	
_	
n 🗌 whitebox test	
testing tool:	
1	
testing tool:	
ement validated during development ?	

. FAMILIARITY lave you ever seen thi	s kind of requirement	t in a project ?		
	⊖ Yes		⊖ No	
. IMPACT ON PROJ low important was it to		equirement ?		
1	2	3	◯4	○ 5
No impact on the project	Low impact	Moderate impact	High impact	Critical to project success
ons (OPTIONAL)				
 natural language semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal no 	ition:		on formal notation:	
semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal not VERIFICATION	e Ition: Diation	☐ tool-spe ☐ other: [
semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal not VERIFICATION	e Ition: Diation	tool-spe other:	ecific notation:	
semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal not verification ow was the requirement	e Ition: Diation	tool-spe other:	ecific notation:	
semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal not verification no verification	e Ition: Diation	tool-spe other:	ecific notation:	
semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal nota ad-hoc formal nota ad-hoc formal nota ad-hoc formal nota blackbox test COMMENT	e ntion: otation ent validated during d	tool-spe other:	ecific notation:	
 semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal nota ad-hoc formal nota vERIFICATION ow was the requirement no verification code review blackbox test COMMENT	e ntion: otation ent validated during d	 tool-spe other: [levelopment ? whitebo testing other: [ecific notation:	

ons (REQUIRED)				
. FAMILIARITY lave you ever seen this	s kind of requiremen	nt in a project ?		
	Yes		◯ No	
. IMPACT ON PROJ low important was it to	ECT SUCCESS		0	
1	2	3	4	5
No impact on the project	Low impact	Moderate impact	High impact	Critical to project success
ons (OPTIONAL)				
semi-formal nota		tool-spe	ecific notation:	
ad-hoc formal no . VERIFICATION		other: [ecific notation:	
ad-hoc formal no		development ?	ox test	
ad-hoc formal no VERIFICATION Now was the requirement no verification code review		 other: [development ? whitebook testing 	ox test	
ad-hoc formal no VERIFICATION Now was the requirement no verification code review blackbox test COMMENT	ent validated during o	development ?	ox test tool:	

a. FAMILIARITY				
Have you ever seen this	s kind of requirement	in a project ?		
-	⊖ Yes		○ No	
b. IMPACT ON PROJ How important was it to	IECT SUCCESS			
01	2	3	4	◯ 5
No impact on the project	Low impact	Moderate impact	High impact	Critical to project success
c. FORMALISM How was the requireme natural language semi-formal notat	e Ition:	tool-spe	on formal notation:	
c. FORMALISM How was the requireme anatural language semi-formal notation ad-hoc formal not d. VERIFICATION	e Ition:	☐ tool-spo ☐ other: [1	
c. FORMALISM How was the requireme anatural language semi-formal notal ad-hoc formal not ad-hoc formal not d. VERIFICATION How was the requireme	e Ition:	☐ tool-spo ☐ other: [ecific notation:	
c. FORMALISM How was the requireme anatural language semi-formal notation ad-hoc formal notation d. VERIFICATION	e Ition:	tool-spa	ecific notation:	
semi-formal notat ad-hoc formal not d. VERIFICATION How was the requireme no verification	e Ition:	tool-space other: evelopment ? whitebo	ecific notation:	
c. FORMALISM How was the requireme anatural language semi-formal notation ad-hoc formal notation ad-hoc formal notation code review blackbox test e. COMMENT	e Ition: Initiality otation ent validated during d	 tool-spe other: [evelopment ? whitebook testing 	ecific notation:	
c. FORMALISM How was the requireme in natural language semi-formal notat in ad-hoc formal notat ad-hoc formal notat c. VERIFICATION How was the requireme in no verification code review blackbox test e. COMMENT	e Ition: Initiality otation ent validated during d	 tool-spanner: other: evelopment ? whitebook testing other: 	ecific notation:	

		annotated with @Cus annotated with @DT		"d-m-Y").
ons (REQUIRED)				
a. FAMILIARITY Have you ever seen this	s kind of requiremen	nt in a project ?		
	⊖ Yes	\$	○ No	
 IMPACT ON PROJ How important was it to 		equirement ?		
01	2	3	4	○ 5
No impact on the project	Low impact	Moderate impact	High impact	Critical to project success
ons (OPTIONAL)				
low was the requireme	ent specified? 🚺			
_				
 natural language semi-formal nota 		Comm	non formal notation:	
Semi-formal nota		tool-s	pecific notation:	
ad-hoc formal no	tation	other		
	ent validated during (development ?		
	C		hov tost	
How was the requireme	Ū	white testin		
 D. VERIFICATION How was the requirement no verification code review 	J	whitetestin		
How was the requireme			g tool:	
Iow was the requirement no verification code review blackbox test		testin	g tool:	
 How was the requirement no verification code review blackbox test comment 	-	testin	g tool:	
 How was the requirement no verification code review blackbox test comment 	-	 testin other: 	g tool:	
 How was the requirement no verification code review blackbox test comment 	-	 testin other: 	g tool:	
 How was the requirement no verification code review blackbox test comment 	-	 testin other: 	g tool:	
 How was the requirement no verification code review blackbox test comment 	-	 testin other: 	g tool:	

		; protocol used must	be HTTPS	
Data is exchanged l	oetween layers usi	ng DTOs.		
ions (REQUIRED)				
a. FAMILIARITY Have you ever seen this	s kind of requirement	t in a project ?		
	⊖ Yes		◯ No	
 IMPACT ON PROJ How important was it to 		equirement ?		
01	2	3	4	5
No impact on the project	Low impact	Moderate impact	High impact	Critical to project success
ons (OPTIONAL)				
low was the requireme	ent specified ? 🕕			
 natural language semi-formal nota 		commo	on formal notation:	
semi-formal nota		tool-sp	ecific notation:	
ad-hoc formal no	tation	other:		
d. VERIFICATION	ent validated during d	evelopment ?		
	an concerned coning o	whitebo		
no verification		testing		
0		- testing		
code review		other:		
 code review blackbox test 				
blackbox test				
blackbox test blackbox test blackbox test	ny comment or perso	nal example for this kind	l of requirement ?	
blackbox test e. COMMENT	ny comment or perso	onal example for this kind	l of requirement ?	
blackbox test e. COMMENT	ny comment or perso	onal example for this kind	l of requirement ?	
blackbox test e. COMMENT	ny comment or perso	onal example for this kind	l of requirement ?	
blackbox test e. COMMENT	ny comment or perso	onal example for this kind	l of requirement ?	

Attributes with annota ions (REQUIRED) a. FAMILIARITY	ation @date mu	st conform to the follo	wing nattern dd-r	
				nm-yyyy.
a. FAMILIARITY				
Have you ever seen this k	ind of requiremen	t in a project ?		
) Yes	5	⊖ No	
 IMPACT ON PROJECT How important was it to satisfy the satisfy th		requirement ?		
01	2	3	4	○ 5
No impact on the project	Low impact	Moderate impact	High impact	Critical to project success
ions (OPTIONAL)				
c. FORMALISM				
How was the requirement	specified? 🚺			
_				
 natural language semi-formal notatio 	n.	commo	on formal notation:	
	m.	tool-sp	ecific notation:	
ad-hoc formal notat	tion	other:		
d. VERIFICATION	validated during (development 2		
	validated during (
no verification		whitebo testing		
code review				
blackbox test		other:		
e. COMMENT Do you want to share any	comment or pers	onal example for this kinc	I of requirement ?	

Logs can only be de Only the last 4 digit		eks. number can be stored	l.	
ons (REQUIRED)				
a. FAMILIARITY Have you ever seen thi	s kind of requiremen	t in a project ?		
	⊖ Yes	i	◯ No	
 IMPACT ON PROJ How important was it to 		equirement ?		
O 1 No impact on the project	2 Low impact	O 3 Moderate impact	○ 4 High impact	5 Critical to project success
ons (OPTIONAL)				
c. FORMALISM How was the requirement	ent specified ? 🚺			
natural language semi-formal nota			on formal notation:	
			ecific notation:	
ad-hoc formal no	otation	other:		
d. VERIFICATION How was the requirement	ent validated during c	levelopment ?		
no verification		whitebe	ox test	
Code review		testing	tool:	
blackbox test		other:		
e. COMMENT Do you want to share a	ny comment or perso	onal example for this kind	d of requirement ?	

	ated to this concern must be accessibl	e to color blind users.		
ions (REQUIRED)				
a. FAMILIARITY Have you ever seen thi	s kind of requiremer	nt in a project ?		
	⊖ Yes	6	◯ No	
o. IMPACT ON PRO. How important was it to		requirement ?		
○ 1 No impact on the project	○ 2 Low impact	◯ 3 Moderate impact	○ 4 High impact	5 Critical to project success
. FORMALISM				
natural language semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal not	tion:		n formal notation:	
natural language semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal not	e ntion:	☐ tool-sp ☐ other: [
natural language semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal not VERIFICATION How was the requirement no verification	e ntion:	tool-space other: development ? whitebo	ecific notation:	
natural language semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal not	e ntion:	tool-sp other:	ecific notation:	
semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal nota ad-hoc formal not verification on verification code review blackbox test c. COMMENT	e ition: otation ent validated during	 tool-spe other: [development ? whitebook testing 	ecific notation:	

	ated to this concern must comply to the	e standard corporate	design guidelines.	
ons (REQUIRED)				
a. FAMILIARITY				
Have you ever seen th	is kind of requirement	t in a project ?		
	⊖ Yes		◯ No	
o. IMPACT ON PRO. How important was it to		equirement ?		
o 1	2	3	4	5
No impact on the project	Low impact	Moderate impact	High impact	Critical to project success
ons (OPTIONAL)				
Ad-hoc formal no Ad-hoc formal no VERIFICATION How was the requirement		evelopment ?		
no verification		whiteb		
code review		testing	tool:	
blackbox test		other:		
e. COMMENT Do you want to share a	any comment or perso	onal example for this kind	d of requirement ?	

tions (REQUIRED)				
a. FAMILIARITY Have you ever seen th	is kind of requiremer	it in a project ?		
	• Yes	6	○ No	
b. IMPACT ON PRO. How important was it to		equirement ?		
• 1 No impact on the project	2 Low impact	○ 3 Moderate impact	◯ 4 High impact	5 Critical to project success
ions (OPTIONAL)				
natural language semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal not d. VERIFICATION How was the requirem	ation:	tool-spe other:	n formal notation:	
		whitebo testing		
no verification			16	
 no verification code review blackbox test 		other:		

	ated to this concern ce is restricted to r	users having role A or	being part of grou	р В.
ions (REQUIRED)				
a. FAMILIARITY Have you ever seen thi	s kind of requiremen	t in a project ?		
	⊖ Yes	5	⊖ No	
b. IMPACT ON PROJ How important was it to		equirement ?		
○1	2	3	4	5
No impact on the project	Low impact	Moderate impact	High impact	Critical to project success
ons (OPTIONAL)				
ad-hoc formal no		□ other: [ecific notation:	
		whitebo		
Code review		testing		
low was the requireme				
 How was the requirement no verification code review blackbox test comment 	ny comment or pers	testing	tool:	

	ifirm his identity ι	using the single sign-or	service of the co	mpany.
ons (REQUIRED)				
a. FAMILIARITY lave you ever seen thi	s kind of requiremer	nt in a project ?		
	⊖ Yes	5	◯ No	
b. IMPACT ON PROJ low important was it to		requirement ?		
01	2	3	4	○ 5
No impact on the project	Low impact	Moderate impact	High impact	Critical to project success
ons (OPTIONAL)				
🗌 natural language				
	tion:	☐ tool-spe ☐ other: [n formal notation: [
semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal nct verification	tion:	☐ tool-spe ☐ other: [ecific notation:	
semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal not t. VERIFICATION How was the requirement on verification code review	tion:	 tool-spe other: [development ? whitebook testing 	ecific notation:	
semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal not ad-hoc formal not verification no verification code review blackbox test	tion:	tool-spe other: development ? whitebo	ecific notation:	
semi-formal nota semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal not to VERIFICATION dow was the requirement no verification code review blackbox test c. COMMENT	tion:	 tool-spe other: [development ? whitebook testing 	ecific notation:	
semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal not ad-hoc formal not verification no verification code review blackbox test comment	tion:	 tool-spe other: [development ? whitebo testing other: [ecific notation:	

lave you ever seen this	s kind of requiremen	t in a project ?		
	⊖ Yes	5	⊖ No	
. IMPACT ON PROJ low important was it to		equirement ?		
1	◯2	3	4	◯ 5
No impact on the project	Low impact	Moderate impact	High impact	Critical to project success
. FORMALISM low was the requireme	nt specified ? i			
natural language		commo	on formal notation:	
semi-formal notat	lion:	tool-sp	ocific notation:	
		•		
ad-hoc formal not	tation	other:		
	tation	_		
		other:		
. VERIFICATION low was the requireme		other:		
VERIFICATION		Cother:	ox test	
VERIFICATION Iow was the requireme no verification code review		 other: development ? whitebook testing 	ox test	
. VERIFICATION low was the requireme		<pre>development ?</pre>	ox test	
VERIFICATION low was the requireme no verification code review blackbox test		 other: development ? whitebook testing 	ox test	
OUTERIFICATION Now was the requireme no verification code review blackbox test COMMENT	nt validated during o	 other: development ? whitebook testing 	ox test tool:	
VERIFICATION low was the requireme no verification code review blackbox test COMMENT	nt validated during o	 other: development ? whitebook testing other: 	ox test tool:	
VERIFICATION low was the requireme no verification code review blackbox test COMMENT	nt validated during o	 other: development ? whitebook testing other: 	ox test tool:	

		mcat 1.23, Oracle 1.2 other using CORBA		
tions (REQUIRED)				
a. FAMILIARITY Have you ever seen thi	s kind of requiremer	nt in a project ?		
	⊖ Yes	5	⊖ No	
b. IMPACT ON PROJ How important was it to		requirement ?		
01	2	3	4	◯ 5
No impact on the project	Low impact	Moderate impact	High impact	Critical to project success
tions (OPTIONAL)				
c. FORMALISM How was the requireme	ent specified ? 🚺			
🗌 natural language		Comm	on formal notation:	
semi-formal nota	tion:	tool-sp	ecific notation:	d
ad-hoc formal no	otation	other:		
d. VERIFICATION How was the requireme	ent validated during (development ?		
no verification		whiteb	ox test	
code review		testing	tool:	
blackbox test		other:		
e. COMMENT				
Do you want to share a	ny comment or pers	onal example for this kind	d of requirement ?	

		ed within 1 week from long-term support ver		
tions (REQUIRED)				
a. FAMILIARITY Have you ever seen this	s kind of requiremen	it in a project ?		
) Yes	\$	◯ No	
b. IMPACT ON PROJ How important was it to		equirement ?		
01	2	3	4	○ 5
No impact on the project	Low impact	Moderate impact	High impact	Critical to project success
ions (OPTIONAL)				
How was the requireme	tion:		on formal notation:	
d. VERIFICATION	ent validated during o	development ?		
			ox test	
How was the requireme		whitebo		
How was the requireme		 whitebo testing 	tool:	
How was the requireme			tool:	
How was the requirement no verification code review blackbox test e. COMMENT	ny comment or pers	testing		

	ated to this concern I8GB RAM, 2 x 2.6GI	Hz Intel Xeon proces	sor, 1TB hard disk	
ions (REQUIRED)				
a. FAMILIARITY Have you ever seen thi	s kind of requirement ir	n a project ?		
	⊖ Yes		○ No	
b. IMPACT ON PRO. How important was it to	JECT SUCCESS o satisfy this kind of req	uirement ?		
◯ 1	2	3	4	○ 5
No impact on the project	Low impact	Moderate impact	High impact	Critical to project success
ons (OPTIONAL)				
 natural language semi-formal nota 	e Ition:	tool-spe	n formal notation:	
natural language semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal not	e Ition:	 tool-spe other: [t:	
natural language semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal not	e ntion:	 tool-spe other: [velopment ? whitebox 	ecific notation:	
semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal not verification no verification code review	e ntion:	 tool-spe other: [velopment ? whitebo testing 	ecific notation:	
natural language semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal not d. VERIFICATION How was the requirement no verification	e ntion:	 tool-spe other: [velopment ? whitebox 	ecific notation:	
natural language semi-formal nota ad-hoc formal not d. VERIFICATION How was the requireme no verification code review blackbox test e. COMMENT	e ntion:	 tool-spe other: [velopment ? whitebo testing other: [ecific notation:	

Email:	
I want to receive updates about major research results	
Role in the company:	
IT domain:	
Usually adopted Technology stack:	
	2
Additional constraints (did we forget to mention any?):	
Additional constraints (did we forget to mention any?):]
Additional constraints (did we forget to mention any?): Notes (personal comments, clarifications,):	