# Implementing Mondrian in Glamorous Toolkit

Bachelor thesis by Cyrill Rohrbach

Supervised by O. Nierstrasz & A. Bergel

- Implement an easier to use Mondrian within GT

## Goal of the thesis

## • Find out if GT is a better platform for Mondrian than normal Pharo

- Developed in 2006 by Michael Meyer and Tudor Gîrba
- Lightweight tool to create interactive visualizations with simple scripts

## What is Mondrian?

	an Or	deredColle	ection [9 iter	ms] (CRBc	рхBu	ш i	٩				
	Items	5 Tree	Boxes	Raw	Prin	t Conn	ectio	<b>e</b>			
	Items Index 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 2	Item CRBox CRCirc CRClas CRCus CRLab CRMoi CRPoi	Boxes Builder cleBuilder ssBlueprintf tomShapeB elBuilder ndrianAsNoo ntBuilder	Raw Builder Builder de	Prin	t Conn a Compile	ectio	d (Present	tation 1>>#r	methodForMor	drianExplain)
						Source	Local	versions	Header	Bytecode	Syntay Evol
						BA-Testing method <gtexar vie vie vie vie</gtexar 	g > Pres ForMon mple> view c ll:= s ew := ew nod ew edg ew lay view	entation_1 drianEx oll   elf cla CRMondr es labe es conn out tre i	plain ssesAsCol ian new l with: 0 ectFrom: e.	llection ►. (coll). #superclas	ss.
a CRMondria	an							8	i 🛛		
Canvas I	Raw	Print (	Connections	s Meta	a				ΘΘ		
CRBoxBuilder CRCir	cleBuilder	CRClassBlueprintB	uilder CRCustom	CRShapeBuild	CRLabel	Builder	IrianAsNode	CRPointBuilder	r CRPreBuiltNode	]	



- Interactive visualizations
- Ability to accommodate any data model
- Small overhead
- Infinite nesting
- Ability to easily change the shape of the nodes
- Ability to add interactions to the nodes
- Ability to create polymetric views

## Mondrian key features

## How could GtMondrian be better?

- Changing the node shape should be easier
- Ability to add Actions
- Builders to make difficult graphs easier

```
gtMondrianPointLabelColor •
<gtExample>
      m
    m := GtMondrian new ►.
    m nodes shape: [ :x | BlElement new ►
            geometry: BlCircle new ►;
            background: Color black ► ■;
            aptitude: (BrGlamorousWithTooltipAptitude new ►
                showDelay: 0;
                hideDelay: 0;
                contentStencil: [BrLabel new ► aptitude:
                    BrGlamorousLabelAptitude new >>
                    glamorousRegularFontAndSize ►;
                    alignCenter;
                    text: x])
            ];
            with: self classesAsCollection ►.
    m edges connectFrom: #superclass.
    m layout tree.
    ^m
✓ ) ( - )( □□ )( i )
                 Ē
                      ▶ ] [▶i ] [eg ] [ፆ
```



## CRMondrian

- Very similar to original Mondrian
- Ability to create new shapeBuilder to make complicated scripts easier
- Support for multiple shapeBuilders
- Support for Actions

# **CRMondrian Script**

- m edges connectFrom: [:x | x // 2].
- m layout tree. ---- Layout

m



## Feature Demo

- Poor Documentation
- Changes in GT break implementation
- BIElements can't be copied

• GT is slow

## Challenges

## Is it easier to use than GtMondrian

## GtMondrian

```
gtMondrianPointLabelColor •
<gtExample>
     m
    m := GtMondrian new ►.
   m nodes shape: [ :x | BlElement new ►
           geometry: BlCircle new ►;
           background: Color black ► ■;
           aptitude: (BrGlamorousWithTooltipAptitude new ►
               showDelay: 0;
               hideDelay: 0;
               contentStencil: [BrLabel new ► aptitude:
                   BrGlamorousLabelAptitude new >>
                   glamorousRegularFontAndSize ►;
                   alignCenter;
                   text: x])
           ];
           with: self classesAsCollection ▷.
   m edges connectFrom: #superclass.
   m layout tree.
    ^m
✓ – 🖽 i 🖺 | ► ►i @g 🗲
```



## CRMondrian

crMondrianPointLabelColor 🖕
<gtexample></gtexample>
m
m := CRMondrian new ►.
m nodes
point
with: self classesAsCollection $\triangleright$ .
<pre>m edges arrow; connectFrom: #superclass</pre>
m layout tree.
^m
<ul> <li>- □□</li> <li>i</li> <li>i</li></ul>





# Is GT better for Mondrian

## PRO

Easy nesting because of One Rendering Tree

Graph-logic is already implemented and ready for us

Deep integration of visualization within the environme

	CONTRA
	Graphic Elements can't be copied
se	
ent	

# Is GT better for Mondrian

- Glamorous Toolkit is definitely a suitable environment to implement Mondrian within
- certain parts of Mondrian

Since it is very visualization focused it is easier to implement

# Summary



## Is GT better for Mondrian

- Glamorous Toolkit is definitely a suitable environment to implement Mondrian within
- Since it is very visualization focused it is easier to implement certain parts of Mondrian

12

## CRMondrian

- Very similar to original Mondrian
- Ability to create new shapeBuilder to make complicated scripts easier
- Support for multiple shapeBuilders
- Support for Actions

## Is it easier to use than GtMondrian

CRMondrian

## GtMondrian

gtMondrianPointLabelColor.	<pre>crMondrianPointLabelColor •</pre>
<gtexample></gtexample>	<gtexample></gtexample>
m	
m := GtMondrian new⊳.	m := CRMondrian new ⊳.
m nodes shape: [ :x   BlElement new ⊳	m nodes
geometry: BlCircle new⊳;	point
background: Color black <b>▶</b> ■;	with: self classesAsCollection > .
aptitude: (BrGlamorousWithTooltipAptitude new 🕨	<pre>m edges arrow; connectFrom: #superclass.</pre>
showDelay: 0;	m layout tree.
hideDelay: 0;	^m
contentStencil: [BrLabel new⊳ aptitude:	
BrGlamorousLabelAptitude new 🕨	
glamorousRegularFontAndSize 🕨 ;	
alignCenter;	
text: x])	
];	
with: self classesAsCollection $\triangleright$ .	
<pre>m edges connectFrom: #superclass.</pre>	
m layout tree.	
^m	
- 4 i i reg f	

Backup



## Edge Builder creation



## Shape Builder creation



## Create Graph

## What is Glamorous Toolkit

- Entirely new Pharo environment
- Based on Moldable Development
- New graphical stack: One rendering tree

# What is the one rendering tree

- Graphical stack
- All elements live within the same graphical stack No difference between graphs and UI => good interaction between the two