	<u>RELEASeD</u> REsearch Lab on software Evolution And software Development technology	Prof. Kim Mens	
	Co-evolving program code & design	Dr. Johan	-
	Reverse engineering & program understanding	Brichau	C
Software	Program <b>querying</b>	Sebastián	1 Martin
Evolution	Source code <b>mining</b> and aspect mining	González	(B)
	Enforcing program & design regularities	Diego	
	Diagnosing & resolving detected inconsistencies	Ordóñez	
	Language engineering (AOP, COP, AmI)	Sergio	Par
Software	Program <b>transformation</b>	Castro	
Development	Generative programming	Alfredo	
•	Declarative metaprogramming	Cadiz	

**Programming technology, languages, formalisms and tools** for **software development**, **maintenance and evolution** 

# Mining Source Code for design regularities (work in progress)

#### Kim Mens



RELEASeD group Département d'ingénierie Informatique Université catholique de Louvain

#### Andy Kellens



Programming Technology Lab Vakgroep Informatica Vrije Universiteit Brussel

# Mining Source Code for design regularities (work in progress)

#### **Kim Mens**

**RELEASeD** group

Université catholique de Louvain



Andy Kellens

PRO

Programming Technology Lab Vakgroep Informatica Vrije Universiteit Brussel

(Gabriela Arevalo, La Plata)

#### **Context: Intensional Views**

**Factories** 

#### all subclasses of abstract factory class

creates

all subclasses of abstract product class

**Products** 

#### **Context: Intensional Views**



#### **Context: Intensional Views**



## Motivation: Documenting and Verifying Design Regularities

- Context: Intensional views
  - Document & verify design regularities in source code
  - Hard to document all regularities "by hand"
- Need for automation
  - program comprehension techniques to discover these views and regularities
  - Source code mining techniques in particular

## Challenge: extracting design regularities from source code

- How to extract views & regularities from code?
- Need for automated code mining techniques
  - Similar to aspect mining
  - Based on data mining / code analysis / program understanding techniques
  - FCA, clustering, clone detection, program slicing ...
- Extra difficulty: extract *intension*, not only extension
  - not only the elements but also *why* they are related

## Ongoing experiment

- A clever combination of
  - Formal concept analysis (FCA)
  - Intensional views
    - alternative views
    - parameterized views (to generate views from a template description)
  - Automated classification and filtering
  - Manual analysis, validation and refinement of results

### Ongoing experiment: step 1

- Step 1: Formal concept analysis
  - (finds groups of "objects" with shared "properties")
  - objects: all classes
  - attributes: (we mix 3 kinds of attributes in 1 analysis)
    - have methods with similar name (keyword shared)
    - implement same method (selector shared)
    - in same hierarchy (parent classes shared)

### Ongoing experiment: step 1 (details)

Intension:	Soul	LiCoR-Smalltalk	*
member(?concept,[l ctx conceptsl ctx := ConceptAnalysis	3.ConceptContext fromBlocksForObjects:[Inter	nsional allClasses]	
relations:[:class III			
coll uperclasses.			
co (s).			
of sincesCutWhere	e: [:each :next   each isLowercase and: [next is	SUppercase or: [next = \$_]]]). coll].	
Co O Ctors nan	nes classes I selectors := concept attributes se	elect:[:attr   attr isKindOf: ByteSymbol].	
names := co	ng]. classes := concept attributes select:[:attr l /	attr isKindOf: Class].	
(selectors size	≻= 1)].		
concepts := c	ts size > 2].		
concepts]),			
equals(?extension,[?conc. 0			
equals(?selectors,[(?concept a // / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	sKindOf: ByteSymbol]) asArray]),		
equals(?names,[(?concept attributes 5 / tri I attri isk	(indOf: ByteString]) asArray]),		
equals(?classes,[(?concept attributes se	KindOf: Class]) asArray])		

### Ongoing experiment: step 2

- Result step 1 = many potentially interesting "concepts"
  - groups of classes that may share similar names, selectors and parent classes
- Step 2: generate an intensional view for each of these
  - Elements of the view = all the classes in the group
  - Alternative 1 : all classes with shared keywords
  - Alternative 2 : all classes with shared names
  - Alternative 3 : all classes with shared parents

## Ongoing experiment: step 2 (details) Parameterized view with 3 alternatives

a Intensio	nal.DefinitionsClassification
File Edit View Services Help	
🛐 🗎 🚈 🗲 🗲 🖬 💣 🛋 💣	ک 🔍 🖑 🔊 🔊 🔊
(beta) Development My Favourites Intensional Banking Example IntensiVE IntensiVE IntregularityMining Definitions (6) WierarchiesAndImplementedMethods{Alt:1} WierarchiesAndImplementedMethodsTemplate{Alt:3} WierarchiesAndImplementedMethods{Alt:1} WierarchiesAndImplementedMethods{Alt:1} WierarchiesAndImplementedMethods{Alt:1} WierarchiesAndImplementedMethods{Alt:1} WierarchiesAndImplementedMethods{Alt:1} WierarchiesAndImplementedMethods{Alt:1} WierarchiesNamesAndImplementedMethods{Alt:1} Regularities (3)	HierarchiesNamesAndImplementedMethodsTemplate{Alt:3}   Input variables   extension   selectors   parents   names     ByHierarchy   ByCommonSelectors   ByNames     eview comment>     Bad smell
<ul> <li>Definitions (1)</li> <li>Deprecated methods{Alt:1}</li> <li>Regularities (1)</li> <li>Deprecated methods</li> <li>Experiment 4:03:38 pm</li> <li>Strong overall correlation (INTERESTING)</li> <li>HierarchiesNamesAndImplementedMethodsTemplate(extension</li> <li>HierarchiesNamesAndImplementedMethodsTemplate(extension</li> <li>HierarchiesNamesAndImplementedMethodsTemplate(extension</li> <li>HierarchiesNamesAndImplementedMethodsTemplate(extension</li> <li>HierarchiesNamesAndImplementedMethodsTemplate(extension</li> <li>HierarchiesNamesAndImplementedMethodsTemplate(extension</li> <li>HierarchiesNamesAndImplementedMethodsTemplate(extension</li> </ul>	Intension: ClassInNamespace(?class,[Intensional]), forall(member(?parent,?parents),classBelow(?class,?parent))

## **Ongoing experiment: step 2 (details)** Analyze the generated views

â G	HierarchiesNamesAndImplementedMethodsTemplate(extension -> #(Intensi	onal.AddRelationAction Inter	isional.Remo	velntensio
	1) ByHierarchy 2) ByCommonSelectors 3) ByNames Table View Text Report			
	Tuples	1(64 ms)	2(74 ms)	3(57 ms
	class -> CopyAction		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
	class -> RenameAlternativeAction	9	$\bigcirc$	
	class -> IntensiVEPropertyChangeAction	9		
	class -> RemoveIntensionalRelationAction			
	class -> MoveToGroupAction	-	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
	class -> AddIVViewAction	-	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
	class -> IntensiVEInvalidatingPropertyChangeAction	9	-	$\bigcirc$
	class -> DuplicateItemAction	-	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
	class -> IntensionalRelationAction	9	-	$\bigcirc$
	class -> RenameViewAction	-	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
	class -> IntensiVEAction	-	-	$\Theta$
	class -> IntensiVESilentPropertyChangeAction	9	-	$\Theta$
	class -> AddIVGroupAction	-	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
> #(Intensional.AddRel	class -> IntensiVEPersistencePropertyChangeAction	-	-	$\bigcirc$
> #(Intensional.IVView[	class -> AddAlternativeAction		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
> #(Intensional.AddRel	class -> AddRelationAction		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
	class -> RemoveAlternativeAction		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
> #(Intensional.Spaced	class -> RemoveViewAction		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
	class -> MoveAction		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
> #(Intensional.Duplica	class -> AddRegularityAction	9	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
> #(Intensional.Mondri				
> #(Intensional ExistsE				

### Ongoing experiment: step 3

- Result step 2 = many views
  - some with strongly correlated properties
  - others with much less correlation (less interesting)
- Step 3: automatically separate interesting views from less interesting ones based on % of correlation

• Step 4: analyse resulting views manually to confirm or discard interesting regularities in the source code

a Classifications2.Classification

## Ongoing experiment: step 3 (details) Automatic classification

9		Experiment 4:03:38 pm	
ģ	•	Strong overall correlation (INTERESTING)	
		W HierarchiesNamesAndImplementedMethodsTemplate(extension -> #(Intensional.AddRela)	
Ę	•	Strong correlation between multiple pair	
ģ	(	Strong correlation between one pair (PRUNE all other alternatives)	
			r.

Tuples
class -> CopyAction
class -> RenameAlternativeAction
class -> IntensiVEPropertyChangeAction
class -> RemoveIntensionalRelationAction
class -> MoveToGroupAction
class -> AddIVViewAction
class -> IntensiVEInvalidatingPropertyChangeAction
class -> DuplicateItemAction
class -> IntensionalRelationAction
class -> RenameViewAction
class -> IntensiVEAction
class -> IntensiVESilentPropertyChangeAction
class -> AddIVGroupAction
class -> IntensiVEPersistencePropertyChangeAction
class -> AddAlternativeAction
class -> AddRelationAction
class -> RemoveAlternativeAction
class -> RemoveViewAction
class -> MoveAction
class -> AddRegularityAction

#### Conclusion

- Discovering, documenting design regularities
  - scaleable to large industrial software systems
- Requires techniques that mine the source code for design regularities
- So that we can codify these regularities intensionally and co-evolve them with the source code
- Prior experience with aspect mining and first experiments make us hopeful that it can be done