





3

# > Bottom-up parsing

> LR(k) grammars

Roadmap

- > JavaCC, Java Tree Builder and the Visitor pattern
- > Example: a straightline interpreter

# Some definitions

## Recall:

- > For a grammar G, with start symbol S, any string  $\alpha$  such that S  $\Rightarrow^* \alpha$  is called a <u>sentential form</u>
  - —If  $\alpha \in V_t^*$ , then  $\alpha$  is called a <u>sentence</u> in L(G)
  - -Otherwise it is just a sentential form (not a sentence in L(G))
- > A <u>left-sentential form</u> is a sentential form that occurs in the leftmost derivation of some sentence.
- > A <u>right-sentential form</u> is a sentential form that occurs in the rightmost derivation of some sentence.



Why rightmost?



Parse bottom up, replacing terms by non-terminals.

Reading in reverse, we have a rightmost derivation, first replacing S, then B, A and A again.

Note that you have more context than with top-down since you may have a whole AST on the stack (A)



Non-terminals are only to the left (the stack) since you are parsing left-to-right.



The handles in our previous example correspond to the points where we prune (reduce).



# **Example — rightmost derivation**



Once again, lookahead tells us to reduce <term>\*<factor> and not <expr>—<term> The question is, how do we arrive at this derivation?





Actually, this is an LR(0) parser algorithm, since no lookahead is used.

![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

Why does <expr>—<term> produce a shift rather than a reduce?

Actually we need to lookahead at least one character (LR(1)) to decide whether to shift or reduce.

Shift-reduce parsing					
A shi	ft-reduce parser has just four canonical actions:				
shift	next input symbol is shifted (pushed) onto the top of the stack				
reduce	right end of handle is on top of stack; locate left end of handle within the stack; pop handle off stack and push appropriate non- terminal LHS				
accept	terminate parsing and signal success				
error	call an error recovery routine				
L		14			

*The key problem:* to recognize handles *(not covered in this course).* Ugh! Where is this covered?

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

Assume sentential forms  $\alpha\beta w$  and  $\alpha\beta y$ , with common prefix  $\alpha\beta$  and common k-symbol lookahead  $FIRST_k(w) = FIRST_k(y)$ , such that  $\alpha\beta w$  reduces to  $\alpha Aw$  and  $\alpha\beta y$  reduces to  $\gamma Bx$ .

But, the common prefix means  $\alpha\beta y$  also reduces to  $\alpha Ay$ , for the same result.

Thus  $\alpha Ay = \gamma Bx$ 

![](_page_16_Figure_0.jpeg)

Recall: LL(k) is top-down, LR(k) is bottom-up.

# Left versus right recursion

# > Right Recursion:

- -needed for termination in predictive parsers
- -requires more stack space
- -right associative operators

# > Left Recursion:

- -works fine in bottom-up parsers
- -limits required stack space
- -left associative operators

# > Rule of thumb:

- -right recursion for top-down parsers
- —left recursion for *bottom-up parsers*

18

# **Parsing review**

### > Recursive descent

- A hand coded recursive descent parser directly encodes a grammar (typically an LL(1) grammar) into a series of mutually recursive procedures. It has most of the linguistic limitations of LL(1).
- > LL(k):
  - must be able to recognize the use of a production after seeing only the first k symbols of its right hand side.
- > LR(k):
  - must be able to recognize the occurrence of the right hand side of a production after having seen all that is derived from that right hand side with k symbols of look-ahead.

19

- > The dilemmas:
  - -LL dilemma: pick  $A \rightarrow b$  or  $A \rightarrow c$ ?
  - -LR dilemma: pick  $A \rightarrow b$  or  $B \rightarrow b$ ?

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

LALR parsers start with an LR(0) state machine and then compute lookahead \*sets\* for all rules in the grammar, checking for ambiguity.

![](_page_21_Figure_0.jpeg)

Example	es	
Token s	pecification:	
Tok { } Produc	EN : /* LITERALS INTEGER_LITERAL: tion:	*/ ( ["1"-"9"] (["0"-"9"])*   "0" ) >
	Declarations Productions and actions	<pre>void StmList() : {} {     Stm() ( ";" Stm() ) * }</pre>

NB: with Java Tree Builder, the actual declarations and actions are inferred and generated.

# <section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><code-block><text><text></code>

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

# First Approach: instanceof and downcasts

The running Java example: summing an integer list.

}

}

```
public interface List {}
public class Nil implements List {}
public class Cons implements List {
  int head;
  List tail;
  Cons(int head, List tail) {
    this.head = head;
    this.tail = tail;
  }
}
```

Advantage: The code does not touch the classes Nil and Cons. Drawback: The code must use downcasts and instanceof to check what kind of List object it has.

```
public class SumList {
  public static void main(String[] args) {
   List 1 = new Cons(5, new Cons(4,
      new Cons(3, new Nil())));
   int sum = 0;
   boolean proceed = true;
   while (proceed) {
    if (1 instanceof Nil) {
      proceed = false;
    } else if (1 instanceof Cons) {
      sum = sum + ((Cons) 1).head;
      l = ((Cons) 1).tail;
    }
  }
}
```

System.out.println("Sum = " + sum);

27

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

NB: In a dynamically typed language you would introduce a visitC method for each class C.

![](_page_29_Figure_0.jpeg)

Note how in Java the type system is used to disambiguate the different visit() methods. In a dynamic language, there would be visitNil() and visitCons() methods.

# Comparison

The Visitor pattern combines the advantages of the two other approaches.

	Frequent downcasts?	Frequent recompilation?
instanceof + downcasting	Yes	No
dedicated methods	No	Yes
Visitor pattern	No	No

31

JJTree (Sun) and Java Tree Builder (Purdue/UCLA) are front-ends for JavaCC that are based on Visitors

# **Visitors: Summary**

- > A visitor gathers related operations.
  - It also separates unrelated ones.
  - -Visitors can accumulate state.
- > Visitor makes adding new operations easy.
  - Simply write a new visitor.
- > Adding new classes to the object structure is hard.
  - Key consideration: are you most likely to change the algorithm applied over an object structure, or are you most like to change the classes of objects that make up the structure?
- > Visitor can break encapsulation.
  - Visitor's approach assumes that the interface of the data structure classes is powerful enough to let visitors do their job. As a result, the pattern often forces you to provide public operations that access internal state, which may compromise its encapsulation.

32

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Recall our straight-line grammar**

CompoundStm	Stm ; Stm	$\rightarrow$	Stm
AssignStm	id := Exp	$\rightarrow$	Stm
PrintStm	<pre>print(ExpList)</pre>	$\rightarrow$	Stm
IdExp	id	→	Exp
NumExp	num	$\rightarrow$	Exp
OpExp	Exp Binop Exp	$\rightarrow$	Exp
EseqExp	(Stm, Exp)	$\rightarrow$	Exp
PairExpList	Exp, ExpList	$\rightarrow$	ExpList
LastExpList	Exp	$\rightarrow$	ExpList
Plus	+	$\rightarrow$	Binop
Minus	-	$\rightarrow$	Binop
Times	×	→	Binop
Div	/	$\rightarrow$	Binop

![](_page_37_Figure_0.jpeg)

Tokens	
	options {
	}
slpl.jj starts with the scanner declarations	<pre>PARSER_BEGIN(StraightLineParser) package parser; public class StraightLineParser {} PARSER_END(StraightLineParser) SKIP : /* WHITE SPACE */ { " "   "\t"   "\n"   "\r"   "\f" }</pre>
	TOKEN : { < SEMICOLON: ";" >   < ASSIGN: ":=" >
	<pre> more tokens here! }</pre>
	TOKEN : /* LITERALS */ { < INTEGER_LITERAL: ( ["1"-"9"] (["0"-"9"])*   "0" ) > }
	<pre>TOKEN : /* IDENTIFIERS */ { &lt; IDENTIFIER: <letter> (<letter>  <digit>)* &gt;   &lt; #LETTER: [ "a"-"z", "A"-"Z" ] &gt;   &lt; #DIGIT: ["0"-"9" ] &gt; }</digit></letter></letter></pre>

![](_page_39_Figure_0.jpeg)

Grammar rules				
	<pre>void Goal() : {} { StmList() <eof> } void StmList() : {}{ Stm() ( ";" Stm() ) * }</eof></pre>			
	<pre>void Stm() : {} { Assignment()   PrintStm() }</pre>			
The grammar rules directly reflect our BNF!	<pre>/* distinguish reading and writing Id */ void Assignment() : {} { WriteId() ":=" Exp() } void WriteId() : {} { <identifier> }</identifier></pre>			
NB: We add some non-terminals to help our visitors	<pre>void PrintStm() : {} { "print" "(" ExpList() ")" } void ExpList() : {} { Exp() ( AppendExp() ) * } void AppendExp() : {} { "," Exp() } void Exp() : {} { MulExp() ( PlusOp()   MinOp() ) * } void Exp() : {} { MulExp() ( PlusOp()   MinOp() ) * }</pre>			
	<pre>void Plusop() : {} { "+" MulExp() } void MinOp() : {} { "-" MulExp() } void MulExp() : {} { PrimExp() ( MulOp()   DivOp() ) * } void MulOp() : {} { "*" PrimExp() } void DivOp() : {} { "/" PrimExp() }</pre>			
	<pre>void PrimExp() : {}{ ReadId()   Num()   StmExp() } void ReadId() : {}{ <identifier> } void Num() : {} { <integer_literal> } void StmExp() : {}{ "(" StmList() "," Exp() ")" } 41</integer_literal></identifier></pre>			

Java Tree Build	ler	<pre>// Generated by JTB 1.3.2 options {     JAVA_UNICODE_ESCAPE = true; } DAMPEER_RECIN(StreightLipeRepare)</pre>	
JTB automatically generates actions to build the syntax tree, and visitors to visit it.		<pre>package parser; import syntaxtree.*; import java.util.Vector; public class StraightLineParser { }  Goal Goal() : { StmList n0; NodeToken n1;</pre>	
original source LOC	441	} { n0-5tmList()	
generated source LOC	4912	<pre>n0-Stmirst() n2=<eof> {     n2.beginColumn++; n2.endColumn++;     n1 = JTBToolkit.makeNodeToken(n2);     }     { return new Goal(n0,n1); } }</eof></pre>	

![](_page_42_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_0.jpeg)

# An abstract machine for straight line code

		1
package interpreter;		
<pre>import java.util.*;</pre>		
<pre>public class Machine {</pre>		
<pre>private Hashtable<string,integer> store; // current values of</string,integer></pre>	f variables	
<pre>private StringBuffer output; // print stream so :</pre>	far	
private int value; // result of current	t expression	
<pre>private Vector<integer> vlist; // list of expression</integer></pre>	ons computed	
<pre>public Machine() {    store = new Hashtable<string,integer>();</string,integer></pre>		
<pre>output = new StringBuffer();</pre>	The Viel	tor
<pre>setvalue(0); which = new Wester(Integer)();</pre>	THE VISI	101
VIISt - new Vector <integer>();</integer>	with	
} woid assignWalwo(String id) ( store put/id getWalwo()); )		
<pre>void assignvalue(String id) { store.put(id, getvalue()), } void appendExp() ( vligt add(getValue()); )</pre>	chine as	
void printValues() { viist.add(getvalue()), }		
void setValue(int value) /	nodes of	
int getValue() { return value: }	41	
void readValueFromId(String id) {	iram.	
assert isDefined(id); // precondition		
this.setValue(store.get(id));		
}		
private boolean isDefined(String id) { return store.contains	<pre>Key(id); }</pre>	
<pre>String result() { return this.output.toString(); }</pre>		
}		45
		45

![](_page_45_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-SA 4.0)

### You are free to:

**Share** — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format **Adapt** — remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially.

The licensor cannot revoke these freedoms as long as you follow the license terms.

### Under the following terms:

![](_page_48_Picture_6.jpeg)

**Attribution** — You must give appropriate credit, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made. You may do so in any reasonable manner, but not in any way that suggests the licensor endorses you or your use.

![](_page_48_Picture_8.jpeg)

**ShareAlike** — If you remix, transform, or build upon the material, you must distribute your contributions under the same license as the original.

No additional restrictions — You may not apply legal terms or technological measures that legally restrict others from doing anything the license permits.

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/