

3. Design by Contract


Oscar Nierstrasz



Design by Contract



Bertrand Meyer, *Touch of Class — Learning to Program Well with Objects and Contracts*, Springer, 2009.




Bertrand Meyer is a French computer scientist who was a Professor at ETH Zürich (successor of Niklaus Wirth) from 2001-2015. He is best known as the inventor of “Design by Contract”, and as the designer of the Eiffel programming language, which provides built-in for DbC.

DbC was first described in a technical report by Meyer in 1986:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Design_by_contract

Who's to blame?

The components fit but the system does not work. Who's to blame? The component developer or the system integrator?



DbC makes clear the “contract” between a supplier (an object or “component”) and its client. When something goes wrong, the contract states whose fault it is. This simplifies both design and debugging.

Why DbC?

- > Design by Contract
 - documents assumptions (what do objects expect?)
 - simplifies code (no special actions for failure)
 - aids debugging (identifies who's to blame)

As we shall see, DbC improves your OO design in several ways.

First, contracts *make explicit the assumptions* under which an object (supplier) will work correctly.

Second, they *simplify your code*, since no special action is required when things go wrong — the exception handling framework provides the necessary tools.

Third, contracts *help in debugging* since errors are caught earlier, when contracts are violated, not when your program crashes because of an invalid state, and it is clear where to lay the blame for the violation (i.e., in the object or its client).

Roadmap

- > Contracts
- > Stacks
- > Design by Contract
- > A Stack Abstraction
- > Assertions
- > Example: balancing parentheses




Roadmap

- > **Contracts**
- > Stacks
- > Design by Contract
- > A Stack Abstraction
- > Assertions
- > Example: balancing parentheses



Class Invariants

An invariant is a predicate that *must hold* at certain points in the execution of a program



A class invariant characterizes the *valid states of instances*

It must hold:

1. *after construction*
2. *before and after every public method*

The word “invariant” means “never changing” — so, it refers to a predicate that is “always true” about instances of a class. In the case of DbC, “always” means “when it matters”, i.e., whenever a client could be affected. In practice this means *before or after any public methods*.

Real World Example


Invariant: the communal kitchen is clean and all kitchenware is back in place



Note that it is *not* the case that the invariant is “always true”, but rather that it is true before and after any (cooking) operations. Invariants are allowed to be invalidated *during* a public operation, but not before or after.

Contracts

A contract *binds the client* to pose valid requests, and *binds the provider* to correctly provide the service.




A contract formalizes what *preconditions* must hold before the client may request a service. If the preconditions do not hold, *then the client is at fault*, and the supplier is not required to do anything.

The contract further formalizes what *postconditions* must hold. If the preconditions hold, then the supplier is bound to fulfil the service, after which the postconditions should hold. If they do not, *then the supplier is at fault*.

Contract violations

If either the client or the provider violates the contract, an *exception* is raised.



NB: The service does not need to implement any special logic to handle errors — it simply raises an exception!

What to do if something goes wrong?

What you want to avoid is complicated logic to deal with every eventuality. Instead, specify clearly the contract, and *raise an exception* if something goes wrong. Handle the exception at the next highest level (typically abort or retry). More on this later.

Exceptions, failures and defects

- > An exception is the occurrence of an *abnormal condition during the execution of a software element*.
- > A failure is the *inability of a software element to satisfy its purpose*.
- > A defect (AKA “bug”) is the *presence in the software of some element not satisfying its specification*.

Exceptions are a fundamental programming language mechanism to signal failure of a service. By specifying *exceptions* and *exception handlers*, we avoid the need to encode special error codes as return values from functions, and to check these values in client code. Exception handlers can be defined at an arbitrary level to simplify software design.

Note the distinction between what happens during execution (*exception, failure*) and what is in the source code (*defect, bug*).

Later in the context of unit testing, we will also talk about *failures* (of tests) and *errors* (unexpected exceptions).

Disciplined Exceptions

- > There are only two reasonable ways to react to an exception:
 1. attempt to *change the conditions* that led to exception and *retry*
 2. clean up the environment and report failure to the client (“organized panic”)

A failed assertion often indicates presence of a software defect, so “organized panic” is usually the best policy.

At the very least, an object that catches an exception should *reestablish its class invariant*, and then re-throw the exception. In other words, “organized panic” means, “leave myself in a clean state and pass the buck.”

Usually with DbC, exceptions are raised in the preconditions, before any state is modified, so there is nothing to do to reestablish the class invariant. However it may be that some work has been done, and a service requested of another object fails. Then some cleanup may be necessary before throwing an exception.

Retrying only makes sense if there is a subsequent chance of success, e.g., trying a different algorithm, trying a fixed number of times to obtain a resource ...

Examples

- > Power shortage
- > Bad input
- > Run out of RAM
- > Wrong computation
- > Busy network

Roadmap

- > Contracts
- > **Stacks**
- > Design by Contract
- > A Stack Abstraction
- > Assertions
- > Example: balancing parentheses



Stacks

A Stack is a classical data structure with many applications in computer programming.

Stacks support two mutating methods: push and pop.

<i>Operation</i>	<i>Stack</i>	<i>isEmpty()</i>	<i>size()</i>	<i>top()</i>
		TRUE	0	(error)
push(6)		FALSE	1	6
push(7)		FALSE	2	7
push(3)		FALSE	3	3
pop()		FALSE	2	7
push(2)		FALSE	3	2
pop()		FALSE	2	7

A stack holds a number of elements in the order in which they are *pushed* and returns them in reverse order through the *pop* operation. Stack behavior is known as *last in, first out (LIFO)*. (The last element pushed is the first one popped. Conversely, the first one pushed is the last one to be popped.)

Stacks are a fundamental construct in the implementation of programming languages: The *run-time stack* keeps track of operations that are executed. Every operation that is called pushes a *stack frame* onto the run-time stack holding its local variables, and this frame is popped when the operation returns, placing its callers frame on the top of the stack.

More on this in the lecture on Debugging.

Stack pre- and postconditions

Stacks should respect the following contract:

<i>service</i>	<i>pre</i>	<i>post</i>
<code>isEmpty()</code>	-	<i>no state change</i>
<code>size()</code>	-	<i>no state change</i>
<code>push(Object item)</code>	-	not empty, <code>size == old size + 1</code> , <code>top == item</code>
<code>top()</code>	not empty	<i>no state change</i>
<code>pop()</code>	not empty	<code>size == old size - 1</code>

Stack invariant

- > The only thing we can say about the Stack class invariant is that the size is always ≥ 0
 - we don't know anything yet about its state!

Roadmap

- > Contracts
- > Stacks
- > **Design by Contract**
- > A Stack Abstraction
- > Assertions
- > Example: balancing parentheses



Design by Contract

When you design a class, each provided service S must specify a clear contract.

“If you promise to call S with the precondition satisfied, then I , in return, promise to deliver a final state in which the post-condition is satisfied.”

Consequence:

—if the precondition does not hold, *the object is not required to provide anything!* (in practice, an exception is raised)

In other words ...

Design by Contract =
Don't accept anybody
else's garbage!

DbC *simplifies design* by clearly separating responsibilities.

Pre- and Post-conditions

The pre-condition binds clients:

- it defines what the data abstraction *requires* for a legitimate call to the operation
- it may involve *initial state and arguments*
- example: *stack is not empty*

The post-condition, in return, binds the provider:

- it defines the conditions that the data abstraction *ensures* on return
- it may only involve the *initial and final states, the arguments and the result*
- example: *size = old size + 1*


Benefits and Obligations

A contract provides *benefits and obligations* for both clients and providers:

	<i>Obligations</i>	<i>Benefits</i>
<i>Client</i>	Only call pop() on a non-empty stack!	Stack size decreases by 1. Top element is removed.
<i>Provider</i>	Decrement the size. Remove the top element.	No need to handle case when stack is empty!

Who's to blame?

If preconditions are violated, the client is to blame.
If invariants or postconditions fail, the provider is to blame!



Example — Java NullPointerException

Null pointer exceptions are the *most common bugs* in Java code!

Why?

- missing postconditions
- missing contracts
- missing null objects

Solution?

1. use postcondition to state if null may be returned (or not)
2. avoid returning `null`!
 - throw exception if contract is violated
 - return a null object if necessary

A study of open source Java programs shows that about 20% of all bug fixes are null checks, 35% of *all conditionals* in Java source code are null checks, and 75% of those check the return value of a method. This suggests that:

1. postconditions are missing (to make explicit whether a method may return null or not),
2. returning null is abused as a way of signaling an error (an exception should be thrown instead as a result of a violated pre- or postcondition)

To avoid these issues: (i) *use postconditions* to make clear whether a method may return null or not, or better yet, (ii) *never return null!* Instead throw an exception or return a “null object” (see the Inheritance lecture).

See also:

<http://scg.unibe.ch/scgbib?query=Osman+phd>

A very recent example:

SWITCHaai



^b
UNIVERSITÄT
BERN

[Forgot your password?](#) [Need Help?](#)

Web Login Service - Uncaught Exception

A software error was encountered that prevents normal operation:

java.lang.NullPointerException

Please report this problem to your Help Desk or administrative staff. It has also been logged for an administrator to review.

Roadmap

- > Contracts
- > Stacks
- > Design by Contract
- > **A Stack Abstraction**
- > Assertions
- > Example: balancing parentheses



StackInterface

Interfaces let us *abstract* from concrete implementations:

```
public interface StackInterface<E> {  
    public boolean isEmpty();  
    public int size();  
    public void push(E item);  
    public E top();  
    public void pop();  
}
```

-  How can clients accept multiple implementations of a data abstraction?
- ✓ *Make them depend only on an interface or an abstract class.*

This interface is *generic*, that is it takes elements of an arbitrary type E. This is useful to avoid losing type information and cluttering your code with *downcasts* when you retrieve elements from the stack and want to use them.

Interfaces in Java

Interfaces *reduce coupling* between objects and their clients:

- > A class can implement multiple interfaces
 - ... but can only extend one parent class
- > Clients should depend on an interface, not an implementation
 - ... so implementations don't need to extend a specific class

Define an interface for any data abstraction that will have more than one implementation

As a rule, you should *avoid depending on concrete classes* as much as possible in your code. Dependencies are evil and make your code fragile!


Instead, introduce interfaces wherever you expect objects of more than one possible class to be used. This will leave your design free to accommodate new classes in the future.

[On the other hand, if it is clear that only one class is ever needed, don't clutter your design with unneeded interfaces.]

Stacks as Linked Lists

A Stack can easily be implemented by a linked data structure:

```
stack = new Stack();  
stack.push(6);  
stack.push(7);  
stack.push(3);  
stack.pop();
```



LinkStack Cells

We can define the Cells of the linked list as an *inner class* within LinkStack:

```
public class LinkStack<E> implements StackInterface<E> {
    protected Cell top;
    protected class Cell {
        E item;
        Cell next;
        Cell(E item, Cell next) {
            this.item = item;
            this.next = next;
        }
    }
    ...
}
```

Inner classes are useful when you have a complex object with a nested object that is very simple and will not be used anywhere else in your code.

Instance variable visibility?

- ✎ When should instance variables be public?
- ✓ *Instance variables represent the private state of an object. They should be declared private or protected.*
- ✓ *Use “package scope” only to support closely collaborating objects.*
- ✓ *Avoid public scope.*

If you declare a variable to be `private`, then instances of subclasses won't be able to access that part of their state. A better solution is to declare them as `protected`. (If you need to prevent subclasses from updating the instance variables, declare the variable as `private` but provide an accessor method.)

If two objects collaborate closely and need to access each others state, then consider using *package scope*. The variables `item` and `next` of the `Cell` object have package scope, and can be accessed from the enclosing `LinkStack` object.

Features with package scope can be accessed by any code within the same package, but not outside. Package scope can be especially useful if you want features of a particular exposed to other related classes (e.g., test classes), but not to the world at large.

LinkStack abstraction

The constructor must construct a *valid initial state*:

```
public class LinkStack<E> implements StackInterface<E> {  
    ...  
    protected int size;  
    public LinkStack() {  
        // Establishes the class invariant.  
        top = null;  
        size = 0;  
    }  
    ...  
}
```

Class Invariants

A class invariant is any condition that expresses the *valid states* for objects of that class:

- > it must be *established* by every constructor
- > every public method
 - may *assume* it holds when the method starts
 - must *re-establish* it when it finishes

Stack instances must satisfy the following invariant:

- > $\text{size} \geq 0$
- > ...

LinkStack Class Invariant

A valid `LinkStack` instance has an integer `size`, and a `top` that points to a sequence of linked `Cell`s, such that:

- `size` is always ≥ 0
- When `size` is zero, `top` points nowhere (`== null`)
- When `size` > 0 , `top` points to a `Cell` containing the top item

When to check invariants?

- > In principle, check invariants:
 - at the end of each *constructor*
 - at the end of every *public mutator*

If a method does not change the state of the object, then there is no need to check the invariant at its completion.

Roadmap

- > Contracts
- > Stacks
- > Design by Contract
- > A Stack Abstraction
- > **Assertions**
- > Example: balancing parentheses



Assertions

- > An assertion is a declaration of a *boolean expression* that the programmer believes *must hold* at some point in a program.
 - Assertions should not affect the logic of the program
 - If an assertion fails, an *exception* is raised

```
x = y*y;  
assert x >= 0;
```

If an assertion is false, there is a bug in the program!

Assertions

Assertions have four principle applications:

1. Help in writing correct software
 - formalizing invariants, and pre- and post-conditions
2. Documentation aid
 - specifying contracts
3. Debugging tool
 - testing assertions at run-time
4. Support for software fault tolerance
 - detecting and handling failures at run-time

Assertions have applications beyond DbC, particularly in the context of proving that a non-trivial algorithm is correct.

Assertions can be used to document and check your assumptions at various points in the execution of your code. This is especially useful for debugging your code (you will get an exception at the point where your assertion fails, not later when the code breaks).

DbC is just a special case, where the assertions correspond to pre- and post-conditions, and invariants.

Assertions in Java

assert is a keyword in Java since version 1.4

```
assert expression;
```

will raise an `AssertionError` if *expression* is false.

—*NB*: Throwable *Exceptions* must be declared; *Errors* need not be!


- ✓ *Be sure to enable exceptions in eclipse! (And set the vm flag `-enableassertions [-ea]`)*

Note the relationship between assertions and exceptions.

An *exception* is a programming language mechanism to signal that the normal flow of control of the program cannot proceed because some *exceptional event* has taken place (e.g., bad input, unavailable resource etc.).

An *assertion* is a mechanism to state that a particular predicate should hold at a particular point in the code. If this predicate fails, this is an *exceptional event*, and an exception is raised.

Enabling assertions in eclipse



Enabling assertions in IntelliJ

The screenshot shows the IntelliJ IDEA 'Run/Debug Configurations' dialog for a configuration named 'ParenMatch'. The 'Build and run' section is active, showing the command 'java 15 SDK of 'P2Stack' mo -ea' and the program arguments 'p2.genstack.ParenMatch'. The 'Working directory' is set to '/Users/oscar/Documents/IntelliJ/lectures-p2-examples/p2-Gen'. The 'Environment variables' field is empty. The 'Code Coverage' section shows 'p2.genstack.*' selected. The 'Add Run Options' menu is open, showing various options categorized by Operating System, Java, Logs, Code Coverage, and Before Launch. The 'Add VM options' option is highlighted.

Run/Debug Configurations

Name: Store as project file

Build and run Modify options ^\M

Working directory:

Environment variables:

Specify environment variables, separate variables with semicolons. Example

Open run/debug tool window when started

Code Coverage

Packages and classes to include in coverage data

p2.genstack.*

Add Run Options

Operating System

- Allow multiple instances ^\U
- Environment variables
- Redirect input

Java

- Do not build before run
- Add VM options ^\V
- Use classpath of module ^\O
- Include dependencies with "Provided" scope
- Shorten command line

Logs

- Specify logs to be shown in console
- Logs settings

Code Coverage

- Show code coverage options
- Coverage settings

Before Launch

- Add before launch task
- Open run/debug tool window when started
- Show the run/debug configuration settings before start

Specify VM options for running the application

In IntelliJ :

- select Run > Edit Configurations ...
- select Modify Options > Add VM options
- enter “-ea” and save

A hack to ensure that assertions *are* enabled

```
try {  
    assert(false);  
    // Got here, so assertions need to be enabled!  
    throw(new Error("Please enable assertions!"));  
} catch (AssertionError err) {  
    // Got here, so assertions are enabled. Do nothing.  
}
```

Note that an `Error` will be raised only if assertions are not enabled. The catch clause will only catch an `AssertionError`, not an `Error`.

Unlike exceptions, errors do not need to be declared in the interface of a method.

Checking pre-conditions

Assert pre-conditions to inform clients when *they* violate the contract.

```
public E top() {  
    assert !this.isEmpty(); // pre-condition  
    return top.item;  
}
```

NB: This is all you have to do!

 When should you check pre-conditions to methods?

✓ *Always check pre-conditions, raising exceptions if they fail.*

Note how elegant this is – you do not need to write any special code to handle the error! DbC says that, when a pre-condition fails, *it's not your fault*, so you don't have to do anything special. Since pre-conditions are checked before any other code is run, you are also sure that the state of the object has not changed, so you do not have to worry about cleaning up your state to reestablish the invariant.

NB: pre-conditions, post-conditions and invariants should never use code that modifies the state of your object.

Checking class invariants

Every class has its own invariant:

```
protected boolean invariant() {  
    return (size >= 0) &&  
        ( (size == 0 && this.top == null)  
          || (size > 0 && this.top != null));  
}
```

Why protected and not private?

Checking post-conditions

Assert post-conditions and invariants to inform yourself when *you* violate the contract.

```
public void push(E item) {
    top = new Cell(item, top);
    size++;
    assert !this.isEmpty();           // post-condition
    assert this.top() == item;       // post-condition
    assert invariant();
}
```

NB: This is all you have to do!

 When should you check post-conditions?

✓ *Check them whenever the implementation is non-trivial.*

Debugging faulty code can be very hard, particularly if that code corrupts the state of the program but does not cause a the program to immediately fail. In this case the error will occur elsewhere in the program, when the corrupted data is used.

By explicitly stating and checking pre- and post-conditions, as well as invariants, you increase the chance that exceptions will be raised precisely where the fault code lies, and bugs can be eliminated much more efficiently.

Roadmap

- > Contracts
- > Stacks
- > Design by Contract
- > A Stack Abstraction
- > Assertions
- > **Example: balancing parentheses**



Example: Balancing Parentheses

Problem:

- > Determine whether an expression containing parentheses `()`, brackets `[]` and braces `{ }` is correctly balanced.

Examples:

- > balanced:

```
if (a.b()) { c[d].e(); }  
else { f[g][h].i(); }
```

- > not balanced:

```
((a+b()))
```

A simple algorithm

Approach:

- > when you read a *left* parenthesis, *push the matching parenthesis* on a stack
- > when you read a *right* parenthesis, *compare it* to the value on top of the stack
 - if they *match*, you *pop and continue*
 - if they *mismatch*, the expression is *not balanced*
- > if the *stack is empty* at the end, the whole expression is *balanced*, otherwise not

Stacks are the perfect data abstraction for keeping track of your position while navigating through a nested hierarchy. As you navigate down, you push your location, and as you return upwards, you pop. This is exactly what happens in the run-time stack, which keeps track of the hierarchy of method calls.

In exactly the same way, a stack can keep track of our position in the tree of nested parentheses.

Using a Stack to match parentheses

Sample input: “([{ }]]”

<i>Input</i>	<i>Case</i>	<i>Op</i>	<i>Stack</i>
(left	push))
[left	push])]
{	left	push })]}
}	match	pop)]
]	match	pop)
]	mismatch	^false)

The ParenMatch class

A ParenMatch object *uses a stack* to check if parentheses in a text String are balanced:

```
public class ParenMatch {
    protected String line;
    protected StackInterface<Character> stack;

    public ParenMatch(String aLine,
                      StackInterface<Character> aStack) {
        {
            line = aLine;
            stack = aStack;
        }
    }
}
```

A declarative algorithm

We implement our algorithm at a high level of abstraction:

```
public boolean parenMatch() {
    for (int i=0; i<line.length(); i++) {
        char c = line.charAt(i);
        if (isLeftParen(c)) { // expect matching right paren later
            stack.push(matchingRightParen(c)); // Autoboxed to Character
        } else {
            if (isRightParen(c)) {
                // empty stack => missing left paren
                if (stack.isEmpty()) { return false; }
                if (stack.top().equals(c)) { // Autoboxed
                    stack.pop();
                } else { return false; } // mismatched paren
            }
        }
    }
    return stack.isEmpty(); // not empty => missing right paren
}
```

Our algorithm identifies when a character is a left parenthesis, and then pushes the matching right parenthesis that we expect to find later onto the stack. When we find one, we just check if it matches the one we expect on top of the stack

“Declarative” here means we say *what we do*, rather than *how to do it*. At this level of abstraction we do not care how we check if *c* is a left parenthesis or is a matching right parenthesis, we only care that we need to do this. The details are available at the next level of abstraction down.

Well-written declarative code is *self-documenting*.

By writing a declarative algorithm we *enhance readability* and *program comprehension*, and thus we *improve maintainability* of our code.

Ugly, procedural version

```
public boolean parenMatch() {
    char[] chars = new char[1000]; // ugly magic number
    int pos = 0;
    for (int i=0; i<line.length(); i++) {
        char c = line.charAt(i);
        switch (c) { // what is going on here?
            case '{' : chars[pos++] = '}'; break;
            case '(' : chars[pos++] = ')'; break;
            case '[' : chars[pos++] = ']'; break;
            case ']' : case ')' : case '}' :
                if (pos == 0) { return false; }
                if (chars[pos-1] == c) { pos--; }
                else { return false; }
                break;
            default : break;
        }
    }
    return pos == 0; // what is this?
}
```

This code does exactly what the previous version did, but is not declarative. It requires extra effort to understand what is going on.

Helper methods

The helper methods are trivial to implement, and their details only get in the way of the main algorithm.

```
protected boolean isLeftParen(char c) {  
    return (c == '(') || (c == '[') || (c == '{');  
}  
  
protected boolean isRightParen(char c) {  
    return (c == ')') || (c == ']') || (c == '}');  
}
```

By choose *intention-revealing names* for helper methods, we simultaneously promote self-documenting, declarative code in clients, and we also make the intent of the method body clear.

Running parenMatch

```
public static void parenTestLoop(StackInterface<Character> stack) {
    BufferedReader in =
        new BufferedReader(new InputStreamReader(System.in));
    String line;
    try {
        System.out.println("Please enter parenthesized expressions to test");
        System.out.println("(empty line to stop)");
        do {
            line = in.readLine();
            System.out.println(new ParenMatch(line, stack).reportMatch());
        } while(line != null && line.length() > 0);
        System.out.println("bye!");
    } catch (IOException err) {
    } catch (AssertionException err) {
        err.printStackTrace();
    }
}
```

NB: `reportMatch()` is just a wrapper around `parenMatch()` to produce a printable result.

```
/**
 * Returns a printable result.
 */
public String reportMatch() {
    if (line == null) { return ""; }
    return "\"" + line + "\" is"
    + (this.parenMatch() ? " " : " not ")
    + "balanced";
}
```

Running ParenMatch.main ...

```
Please enter parenthesized expressions to test
(empty line to stop)
(hello) (world)
"(hello) (world)" is balanced
()
"()" is balanced
static public void main(String args[]) {
"static public void main(String args[]) {" is not balanced
()
"()" is not balanced
}
"}" is balanced

"" is balanced
bye!
```

Which contract has been violated?

We have been careful about specifying the contracts for the Stack abstraction, but we were sloppy with the ParenMatch class.

Which implicit contract have we failed to formalize and respect?

Which assertion(s) need to be added, and how do we correct the bug?

NB: There are several possible solutions ...

What you should know!

-  *What is an abstract data type?*
-  *What is the difference between encapsulation and information hiding?*
-  *How are contracts formalized by pre- and post-conditions?*
-  *What is a class invariant and how can it be specified?*
-  *What are assertions useful for?*
-  *What situations may cause an exception to be raised?*
-  *How can helper methods make an implementation more declarative?*

Can you answer these questions?

- ✎ When should you call `super()` in a constructor?*
- ✎ When should you use an inner class?*
- ✎ What happens when you `pop()` an empty `java.util.Stack`?
*Is this good or bad?**
- ✎ What impact do assertions have on performance?*
- ✎ Can you implement the missing `LinkStack` methods?*



Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-SA 4.0)

You are free to:

Share — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format

Adapt — remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially.

The licensor cannot revoke these freedoms as long as you follow the license terms.

Under the following terms:



Attribution — You must give appropriate credit, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made. You may do so in any reasonable manner, but not in any way that suggests the licensor endorses you or your use.



ShareAlike — If you remix, transform, or build upon the material, you must distribute your contributions under the same license as the original.

No additional restrictions — You may not apply legal terms or technological measures that legally restrict others from doing anything the license permits.

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>