



UNIVERSITÄT BERN

#### Modeling requirements artifacts in an IDE

Master thesis, final presentation, FS2020

Author: Robert Niemiec

Supervisors: Nitish Patkar, Nataliia Stulova, Andrei Chiş

#### Requirements



Establish a common vision of the product

#### Requirements



Establish a common vision of the product



Act as a contract

#### Requirements







Establish a common vision of the product

Act as a contract

Various artifacts and formats used









#### Physical

#### Software



# Requirements $\langle \rangle$ Documents Physical Software Fragmented knowledge **Dynamic management**

## **Centralized Requirements Management**

- Integrate project artifacts into a single platform
- Model a selection of artifacts
- Workflows:
  - Creation, updating, removing artifacts
  - Navigation
  - Visualization



#### **Research Questions**





	- 1
	- 1
	- 1
	- 1

literature mentioned artifacts

Analysis of RE Extraction of Compilation of a list of artifacts



Analysis of RE literature Extraction of Commentioned artifacts

Compilation of a list of artifacts



	•

Analysis of RE literature Extraction of mentioned artifacts

Compilation of a list of artifacts





Analysis of RE literature Extraction of mentioned artifacts

Compilation of a list of artifacts

62 artifacts obtained

#### **Research Questions**



#### **RQ2 – Artifact Properties**



_	_	

Classification of collected artifacts Analysis of results

Extraction of findings

- 1. Format
- 2. Nature
- 3. Contains
- 4. Helps Create
- 5. SDLC Phase of Origin
- 6. SDLC Phase of Use

#### Format

Textual/Graphical/Mixed

As a <user>, I want to <perform action>, so that <goal>

User Story – Textual

- 1. Format
- 2. Nature
- 3. Contains
- 4. Helps Create
- 5. SDLC Phase of Origin
- 6. SDLC Phase of Use

#### Format

#### Textual/Graphical/Mixed



**Sketch - Graphical** 

- 1. Format
- 2. Nature
- 3. Contains
- 4. Helps Create
- 5. SDLC Phase of Origin
- 6. SDLC Phase of Use

#### Format

#### Textual/Graphical/Mixed



UML Diagram - Mixed

1. Format

#### 2. Nature

- 3. Contains
- 4. Helps Create
- 5. SDLC Phase of Origin
- 6. SDLC Phase of Use

# Nature

**Digital/Physical** 

User Story As a potential customer I want to read book reviews So that I can decide which one to buy

Story Card - Physical

1. Format

#### 2. Nature

- 3. Contains
- 4. Helps Create
- 5. SDLC Phase of Origin
- 6. SDLC Phase of Use

# Nature

#### Digital/Physical



UML Diagram - Digital

- 1. Format
- 2. Nature
- 3. Contains
- 4. Helps Create
- 5. SDLC Phase of Origin
- 6. SDLC Phase of Use

# Contains

**Other artifacts** 

#### User Story Map - Example



Story Map – contains user stories

- 1. Format
- 2. Nature
- 3. Contains
- 4. Helps Create
- 5. SDLC Phase of Origin
- 6. SDLC Phase of Use

## Helps create

**Other artifacts** 



Wireframes → Mockups → Prototypes



#### Requirements

Requirements are elicited, collected and specified



#### Design

The requirements are reasoned about; a solution is designed

Development process is structured



#### **Development and Testing**

- The solution is developed
- Source code is created and tested



#### **Deployment and Maintenance**

- The solution is deployed
- Maintenance activities (e.g. bug fixing, usage reports)

- 1. Format
- 2. Nature
- 3. Contains
- 4. Helps Create
- 5. SDLC Phase of Origin
- 6. SDLC Phase of Use

#### **SDLC Phase of Origin**



Mind Map – Requirements phase

- 1. Format
- 2. Nature
- 3. Contains
- 4. Helps Create
- 5. SDLC Phase of Origin
- 6. SDLC Phase of Use

#### SDLC Phase of Use



**Release Plan – Development and Testing phase** 

#### **RQ2 – Artifact Properties**





Classification of collected artifacts Analysis of results

Extraction of findings

#### **RQ2 – Artifact Properties**





Classification of collected artifacts Analysis of results

Extraction of findings

Finding 1: A plethora of artifacts, with varying characteristics, are available to practitioners; these artifacts need to be easily accessible to stakeholders.

Finding 1: A plethora of artifacts, with varying characteristics, are available to practitioners; these artifacts need to be easily accessible to stakeholders.

62 artifacts extracted

Finding 1: A plethora of artifacts, with varying characteristics, are available to practitioners; these artifacts need to be easily accessible to stakeholders.

62 artifacts extracted



Stakeholder variety

Finding 1: A plethora of artifacts, with varying characteristics, are available to practitioners; these artifacts need to be easily accessible to stakeholders.

62 artifacts extracted



Stakeholder variety



Supported activities

Finding 1: A plethora of artifacts, with varying characteristics, are available to practitioners; these artifacts need to be easily accessible to stakeholders.

62 artifacts extracted



Stakeholder variety

Supported activities

	$\searrow$
>	

Tools and media

Finding 1: A plethora of artifacts, with varying characteristics, are available to practitioners; these artifacts need to be easily accessible to stakeholders.

#### 62 artifacts extracted





Supported activities



Tools and media

Harder to maintain the "big picture" of a project



Finding 2: If artifacts are meant to be used by developers and designers, then they should be clear for these groups.

O_Requirements	<ul> <li>Business Process Models</li> </ul>	0_reduiements
	<ul> <li>Business rules</li> </ul>	
	- DSL	U Design
O Design	Design concept	
	<ul> <li>Domain models</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Effect maps</li> </ul>	II Build and and Torton
O_Development and reschig	<ul> <li>Excel reports</li> </ul>	U_Development and Testing
O_Deployment and Maintenance	- Goal models	
	Impact map	U_Deployment and Maintenance
	- Index cards	
	- Kanban board	
	Mind maps	
	- Pictures	
	- Pin board	
	Post it notes	
	Poloaso plan	
	Release plan	
	Cassanabata	
	Screenshots	
	Sketches	
	Status board	
	- Story cards	
	Story estimates	
	- Story map	
	Storyboards	
	- lask model	
	lasks	
	lest specifications	
	- Use-case description	
	- Use-cases	
	User Interface model	
	- User model	
	- User stories	
	User wish list	
	Videos	
	- Vision	
	Data model	
	Epic	
	Feature diagram	
	Integration tests	
	Interaction scenarios	
	MVP	
	- Mockups	
	Dontological models	
	Personas Process model	
	Process models	
	- Product backlog	
	- Prototing	
	- Regression tests	
	Role model	
	Scenarios	
	- System model	
	Tags	
	- Test plan	
	UML diagrams	
	Unit tests	
	User journey	
	user journey	
	= wiretrames	
	EMF models	

- Durn yown chan

O_Requirements	<ul> <li>Business Process Models</li> </ul>	u_rreduitement
	- Business rules	
	DSL	U_Desig
O_Design	Design concept	
	Effect mans	
O_Development and Testing	- Excel reports	U_Development and Testin
O Deployment and Maintenance	- Goal models	
and the state of t	Impact map	U Deployment and Maintenand
	- Index cards	
	- Kanban board	
	- Mind maps	
	- Pictures	
	- Pin board	
	Post-it notes	
	Refease plan	
	- Roadmap	
	- Screenshots	
	- Sketches	
	- Status board	
	- Story cards	
	- Story estimates	
	- Story map	
	- Storyboards	
	- lask model	
	Tast angulfastions	
	lies care description	
	- Use-cases	
	- User interface model	
	- User model	
	- User stories	
	User wish list	
	- Videos	
	- Vision	
	Data model	
	- Epic	
	Feature diagram	
	Integration tests	
	MVP	
	Mockups	
	Ontological models	
	Personas	
	Process model	
	- Process models	
	- Product backlog	
	- Prototype	
	- Regression tests	
	Kole model	
	Scenarios	
	Tage	
	- Test plan	
	Unit tests	
	User journey	
	- Wireframes	
	- EME models	
	Entri Incacio	
	Features	

Finding 2: If artifacts are meant to be used by developers and designers, then they should be clear for these groups.



Vague and unstructured requirements are problematic for developers

Finding 2: If artifacts are meant to be used by developers and designers, then they should be clear for these groups.



Vague and unstructured requirements are problematic for developers Structure needs to be applied to requirements

Finding 2: If artifacts are meant to be used by developers and designers, then they should be clear for these groups.



Vague and unstructured requirements are problematic for developers Structure needs to be applied to requirements

Singular requirements management platform

Finding 3: Reflecting requirements changes across artifacts can be challenging.



Requirements are subject to change

Finding 3: Reflecting requirements changes across artifacts can be challenging.



Requirements are subject to change

Changes have to be reflected across all artifacts

Finding 3: Reflecting requirements changes across artifacts can be challenging.



Requirements are subject to change

Changes have to be reflected across all artifacts

Inconsistencies likely to happen in distributed settings

Finding 3: Reflecting requirements changes across artifacts can be challenging.



Requirements are subject to Changes have to be reflected change

across all artifacts

Inconsistencies likely to happen in distributed settings



Singular requirements management platform

Finding 4: Artifacts have relations and dependencies; gaining an overview of the structure is important for understanding the complete requirements picture.

Finding 4: Artifacts have relations and dependencies; gaining an overview of the structure is important for understanding the complete requirements picture.



Artifacts often exist in hierarchies

Finding 4: Artifacts have relations and dependencies; gaining an overview of the structure is important for understanding the complete requirements picture.



Linking of artifacts is cumbersome; lack of clear guidelines

Finding 4: Artifacts have relations and dependencies; gaining an overview of the structure is important for understanding the complete requirements picture.



Artifacts often exist in hierarchies

Linking of artifacts is cumbersome; lack of clear guidelines 50% of the artifacts can help create others

(e.g. Epics to use cases)

Finding 4: Artifacts have relations and dependencies; gaining an overview of the structure is important for understanding the complete requirements picture.



#### **Research Questions**



## **Centralized Requirements Management**

- Integrate project artifacts into a single platform (IDE)
- Model a selection of artifacts
- Workflows:
  - Creation, updating, removing artifacts
  - Navigation
  - Visualization



# Moldable Requirements Manager (MReM)

- Tool for modeling and managing requirements
  - Based on Pharo, GToolkit
- Visual overview of the artifact structure
- Custom views, workflows for artifacts
- Linking requirements and source code

# glamorous**toolkit**





# Live Demo



#### **Future Work**



Modeling further artifacts

#### **Future Work**



Modeling further artifacts



Different visualization schemes

#### **Future Work**



Modeling further artifacts

Different visualization schemes



Support for data formats (e.g. ReqIF)



# **Questions?**